G. R. S. 59] GPB—15,000—20-12-13

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1893-4
191.
(Mulbagal)

File No. 167

Serial Nos.

Papers Filed.

*Month*_____

SUBJECT.

Passing orders on the petition of Thimmiah of Nallikatte village complaining that the Kanikes & other fees paid by the Votaries to the Sri Lakshmi-Narasimhaswami temple at Horakere Devarpur, Holalkere Taluk are being misappropriated by Sameday of the temple &

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.

LATER REFERENCES.

Vide Abstract from the
Quitrent-Rgte.

Vide ~~DKL~~ no: 90 dated 3rd
January 1886 & no dated 24th
July 1886.

Vide his letter no $\frac{849}{55}$ dated
19th April 1897.

1
I-105-

3/5

(General Serial No. 31.)

3

1886-87

From

To

The Deputy Comm
Chittaldroog Distri

Dated 3 April 1886

Despatched 3 May

Received

Enclosures No. 2

General No. 90

No.

In forwarding for report
accompanying petition dated 22nd April 1886 from
Lingabhatta and others
Nonkere Devapur, Holalu
Kere Taluk, ~~requesting~~
~~intimation of report as~~
~~to the~~ on a
~~state that by~~ reference
to the records of this office
appears to
~~that~~ the temple is unde
the management of
the Inzaroi Departmen
Such being the case, -
~~It is to be understood~~
the offerings by devot
~~that Naiks and Hospe~~

must belong to Govt as per
rules ~~annexed to~~ Circulated in Inspr
circular No 60, para 22, dated
22nd May 1874.

By order

Asst

For Chief Accountant

9

T-105

2 — 6 — 1886

Mysore Dept

Furnish copy

noted

(General Serial No. 29.)

5

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER

1886 167 2-JUN.00 53

From The Deputy Commissioner of Chitaldroog Division

To

Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 28th May 1886.

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. None

General No. 104 } 1886-87.
No.

His No 90 dated 3d Instant forwarding a petition from Lingabutta and others of Chikkere Devanpura Holal Nad Taluk regarding certain Temple.

In reply has the honor to states that the Circular referred to in the above is not forthcoming in this Office records. Requests therefore that he will be so good as to furnish the undersigned with a copy of the same.

I have &c

For Deputy Commissioner
Chitaldroog Division

Rd 1.7.86.

Please put up
Circular No 60 of 22nd
May 1874
N.S. This may be notification

Rd 10/7

R.S.

2

2/7/86

(General Serial No. 29.)

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
2930.
16 JUL 86
138

1886-87.

From The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar Bangalore District

To

The Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Dated 14th July 1886.

Despatched 15th July 1886.

Received 16th July 1886.

Enclosures No. Two.

General No. 2930 } 1886-87.

Reports upon the petition
addressed by Lingabhatta
and others of Korakere
= davanahalli, Kolar Kere
Taluk, regarding the offer
= ings of devotees who pre
= sent to the Lakshmiara
= simhaswamy being ap
= propriated by certain
temple servants.

Vide Dewan's order
endorsing the proceedings
the receipt is referred.
B.L.R.
16/7

No: 201-
122-
Ex: 2-

Chittledroog District
Deputy Commissioner's Office
Dated Chittledroog 12th July 1886
Despd: 13th " Ditto-

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Chittledroog District

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore

Sir,

In reply to your docket No 90 of the 3d Ultimo, forwarding for report a petition addressed by Lingabhatta and others of Hosiketerdevarpur, Holalkere Taluk; I have the honor to state that a Complaint having been preferred to the Amildar of Holalkere Taluk that certain Temple servants called "Sameragas" who were paying 24 Rupees a year to the Lakshmi Narasimhaswami Temple at Hosiketerdevarpur in Consideration of appropriating to themselves the offerings by the devotees to the said Temple, have failed to pay the stipulated amount for some time past, and that the said Sameragas had no claim for the said Offerings

we a

the "Samarayas" stated that they have been enjoying the ——————— offerings in question for a long time, and that they never paid anything to the Temple in question, as alleged by Kare Kallagowda and others. Both the Contending Parties were examined by the Amildar, and neither party could produce any documentary evidence to prove his own allegation, nor is there any public record to support, or against, the Complainants. The Amildar therefore ordered that the offerings by the Devotees to the Temple should pending final orders be credited in a separate account to be kept for the purpose, and submitted the records of the Case for orders of the Sub Division Officer, who decided that the case was not Cognizable by Revenue Officers, that the course hitherto followed should be adhered to, that disputants should be referred to the Civil Courts, that the proceeds of the offerings in question are not such as should be entered in the Jamabandi account of the Temple, and should therefore be disposed of according to Inamdar.

2. The Temple of Lakshmi Narasimha Swami at Horikeredevarpur is under the

the management of the Inzgroy Departmen
and enjoys both in land and money
amounting to Rupees 85-13-4. per annum
Inams from the Government. The dub
Division Officer appears to have issued the
order in question under some misappre
hension, and in future the offerings of the
Devotees will under para XXII of the Rules
Conveyed with Chief Commissioners Inzgroy
Circular No 60 of the 22d May 1874 be credited
in the accounts of the Temple and remitted
to the Taluk Cutcherry. An order to this
effect has been issued to the Amildar.

3. The enclosures of your docket under
reply are herewith returned.

4. I beg to state that the Chief Commissioners
Circular above quoted was found in the
records after docket No 104 of the 28th
Ultimo was despatched to you.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
your most obedient servant.

Rajahmundry
For Deputy Commissioner
Chikuldroog District

CBS

I
I-105

(General Serial No. 31.)

188 .

From

To The Deputy Commr
Chitaldroog Dtt.

Dated 13
Despatched } July 1886.
Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 2952
No. 46

His no 1047 28th May 1886.

—— u ——

Informs that the ~~Circular~~ Notifn referred to in the above is ~~only a Notification~~ published in Canarese in the Mysore Gazette no 21 of 30th May 1874.

By order

Illegible

Under Secretary

M. No. 427 R

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition No. 360

dated 25 Sept 93 received at the Dewan's Office from

Ramanna Shimiah of the Nellikatte village Holalkere Taluk

is forwarded, ~~together with its enclosures~~, to the Asst Commissioner Chitaldrug District for enquiry and report

By Order,

Mysore Dept
BANGALORE, 1893.
17-10-93

Muzrai Secretary.

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Chitaldroog District
Dated 13th April 1894.

To The Secretary to the Govt: of Mysore
Muzrai Department.
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your docket No. 427 dated 17th October 1893, forwarding for report a petition from Ramman Yimmaya of Kellekatte village Holalkere Taluk, I have the honor to state as follows

2. The petitioner's complaint is that certain Daréeyaji Devanto attached to the Lakshmi Narasimha temple at Hosakeredevarapura Holalkere Collect moneys due to the temple from ryotaries on account of certain homages done by them and appropriate them for their own use Contrary to an order passed in this respect

3. On reference to the records connected with this subject I find that the matter is being handled in one form or another from 1886 without coming to a definite conclusion.

4. A reference is invited to letter from this Office No. 201/122 dated 12th July 1886

Gen. Serial No. 30.]

OFFICE OF
828
MUZ
BRANCH

1897.

From The Deputy Commissioner
Chitaldroog District

To

The ~~Chief~~ Secretary to the Govt:
~~Dewan~~ of Mysore.
Muzarai Department.

Dated 13th April 1897.

Despatched 19th April 1897.

Received

Enclosures No. 1 file of V. Papers
Cash Register.

General No. 849
No. 55.

In reply to

SUBJECT.

Submitting a report on the Complaint of Timmaiya of Kelliketti Village Holalkere Taluk re the Sowkeys attached to the Temple of Honkeri devanpure appropriate moneys collected from Vollunteers for the Temple, for their Sowkeeree.

References—(to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

Refer as
1-5-97

To Muz Dept. Aug 1/5/97

In the Jamabandi 1896-97

in which it is stated that orders have been given for crediting to the temple the offerings referred to by the petitioners and prohibiting the Samayaji servants from using them themselves. This order has not been effectively carried out and hence complaints have from time to time been addressed by persons antagonistic in interest to the Samayajis

5. The Samayajis have at various times agreed to pay sums varying from 24 to 15 Rs. per annum as an equivalent of the fees they collect from votaries but they have not abided by it and it has not been found very easy to get the agreements enforced

6. The offerings which form the subject of this dispute are the following:-

(1) Mandu (offering made when man and wife get a Shave).

(2) Dindu (offering made when a child's hair is cut for the first time)

(3) Urudu (offering made when votaries roll round the temple).

(4) Bayi biga (when votaries under a vow of keeping silence are permitted to speak

(5) Chakramukha (when votaries are stamped with a Chakra by the Samayajis.

Most of the votaries look to the Samayajis as their spiritual guides and it is difficult to collect these fees except through the Samayajis themselves.

7.

7. I tried to induce the contending parties to come to some definite understanding and propose me as to whereby the temple would receive some equivalent for the fees levied by Sameyas leaving them free to levy it from their votaries at annual rates. As the result of negotiations between them the Sameyas have deposited a sum of Rs. 250/- in the Savings Bank to the credit of the temple, the interest upon which is proposed to be utilised for a special utsava on the Narasimha Jayanti day. I request that this arrangement be approved of.

8. I beg to add that in consideration of this above deposit the Sameyas are entitled to fees specified above only from such votaries as look upon them as their spiritual guides. The fees paid by others will be directly paid to the temple without the intervention of the Sameyas.

9. The petition received with your memorandum is returned and the vernacular papers on the subject are also forwarded.

I have the honour to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant
[illegible]
Deputy Commissioner.

M.

360

MUZRAI

1899.

From

THE SECRETARY TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Chikaldevg District

Dated 11th

Despatched 11th February 1899

Received

General No. 951
Muzrai F. No 76-93

Enclosure No. 1 File V.P. papers

His Letter No. 849/55 dated
13th april 1897, reporting upon
the petition of Thimmiah of
Kalli Kasse village complain-
ing that the Kanike fees and
other fees paid by the votar
to the Sri Lakshmi Nara-
Simhaswami temple at
Honakere Devarpur, Hola-
Kere Taluk are being mis-
appropriated by a class of
temple servants called
Sanneyayas.

With reference to the
above, has the honor to sta
serve that as the temple in
question is a Muzrai Institu
all the fees collected therein

from the salaries should be credited to the temple funds, no portion thereof being permitted to be appropriated by any class of the temple servants. It is very unfortunate that an order issued by the Govt to this effect so long ago as 1886 should yet remain unenforced. (Vide docket to the Dy Comr No. 90 dated 3rd May 1886 from the Chief Secretary).

2. Further, the records contain no information as to what is the approximate amount of fees annually collected in the temple under each of the five heads mentioned in the letter under reply. The information contained in the Sheikdar's memo prepared on the statement of the interested temple servants cannot be relied upon. The Dy Comr is therefore requested to depute a reliable agency to ascertain what these collections amount to annually and report the same to this office at an early date.

3. The offer of the Sreenayas to deposit a sum of Rs 250 in the Savings Bank for the interest thereon being utilized for temple purposes in return for their being permitted to appropriate to themselves the fees collected from the devotees cannot be accepted.

4. The records connected with the case are herewith returned for refce and re-submission. Final orders will be issued on the subject on receipt of the information called for from the Dy Comr in para 2 supra.

By order
Joint Secretary

1899-1900

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Chitaldroog District

To

The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore
Dated 10th August 1899 Mysore Department.
Despatched 16th August 1899
Received
General No. 110
No. 1
Enclosure No. one file of V. Pape

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
RECEIVED
Date 10 8
REG. No. 19-8-99
MUZRAI BRANCH

M V
01
12 8 99

Furnishing additional information regarding the collection of fees from the Votaries to the Sri Lukshmi Narashima Swami temple at Horakere in Devarapura, Holalkere Taluk.

Vide no 247 dt 4/9/99

1899

Deputy Commissioner's Office,
Chikaldrug District,

No: 110. Dated 10th August 1899
Encl: one file of 9 Papers.

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Chikaldrug District.

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore,
Inzrai Department.

Sir,

With reference to your docket No. 951/76.93 dated 11th February 1899 calling for certain information regarding the collection of fees from the votaries to the Sri Lakshmi Narasimha Swami temple at Koratere-Devarapura, Holalkere Taluk, I have the honor to state that the Amildar of Holalkere Taluk reports that the collection of fees annually at the times of Jatra under all the five heads noted in the margin is estimated to amount

* 1. "Mande"
2. "Vunde"
3. Chekranti
4. Dinadoorla
5. Bayi-beega.

amount from Rs 10 to 15. The Dharmadarsies of the temple have been appointed to collect and credit it to the Taluk Treasury under Temple Fund.

2. The records received with the docket under reference are returned.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most Obedient Servant

V Bhanashah
For Deputy Commissioner

WM

MUZRAI

189

From

To

The Dy Comr,
Chitaldrug Dt.

Dated 4th
Despatched 6th Sept. 189
Received

General No. 247
Muzrai F. No. 76-93
Enclosure No.

His Letter No. 110/1 dated
10th August 1899, reporting
with reference to this offi
No. 951/Muz 7 76-93 dated 11th Feb 18
that the Kacheries and othe
fees collected from the d
worees at the time of th
annual Jatra of the Sri
Lakshmi Narasimha Swa
temple at Hosakere Devar
puri, Holalkere Talu
under all the five head
noted in the margin amo
to about Rs 15 a year a
that he has entrusted th
collection of these fees to

1. Kacheri.
2. Kunde.
3. Chekrauti
4 Kindi coorlu.
5. Bayi kaiga.

the Dharmadarsees of
the temples.

The deputy Commissioner's
action in having entrusted
the collection of kanikes
and other dues in the
Sri Lakshmikarasimha
Swamie temple at Hosakere
devarpeer to the Dharma-
darsees of the temples is
approved. These fees should
in every case be credited
to the funds of the temple
and no portion thereof should
be permitted to be appropriated
by any class of temple servants
as stated in this office No.
951 dated 11th Feb 1899.
Mysr 776-93

2. The enclosures to his
letter under reply are
herewith returned.

By order

A.S.
Assistant Secretary.